NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

14 APR -8 PM 12: 44

TO: W.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Michael M. Anello Magistrate Judge	
FROM: D. Gilbert , Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: Apr 7, 2014	
CASE NO. 13cv2080-	-MMA-BGS DOCUMENT FILED BY: Julio Mayen
CASE TITLE: Mayen v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Extension of Time	
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
LOCAL RULE	DISCREPANCY
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
□ 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
⊠ 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Documents(s) are not timely
☐ 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memorandum exceed length restrictions
□ 7.1	Missing table of contents
□ 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
□ 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	Supplemental documents require court order
	Default judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
OTHER:	
Angularitan graduation is proprietable and angularitan angularitan and a second	Date Forwarded: Apr 7, 2014
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court copy retained by chambers	
Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.	
Dated: 482	Michael M. Aneuo
cc: All Parties	By: 20 U.S. District Judge